NEW TOOLS FOR VIEW CONTROLS IN CANADA'S CAPITAL

The contents of this document do not necessarily reflect the view and policies of the National Capital Commission. The

part of the SURP 824 Project Course.

SWOC ANALYSIS

	STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
INTERNAL	x Views contribute to a prominent cultural identity x Key nati12 >>BDC 7 003(l)-37.998( )-62.003(i-48.99( )-48.013( )-62.00m(s)-41.993(u)-38.99 7)-4().8( )-62.00s(e)-60(n)(a)-37.00h ltut	

BEST PRACTICES

RECOMMENDATIONS

The guiding principles were used to create a series of twelve recommendations (in no order of importance)

1. 8SGDWH DQG PDLQWDLQ &DQDGD·V &DSLWDO 9LHZV Protection Policy (published in 2007)
2. Expand the definition of the national symbols
3. Implement a federal leasing policy
4. Implement a floor area ratio (FAR) trading policy
5. Implement a development capacity study
6. Redefine the viewpoints and explain the methodology
7. Identify and mark the viewpoints
8. Create an enjoyable environment at the viewpoints
9. Implement a vegetation management policy
10. Increase public consultation through apps and mapping software
11. Increase public awareness
12. ([SORUH ¶RSHQ GDWD· SRVVLELOLWLHV

Figure 11-1: Sketch of what a good viewpoint could be. It includes comfortable seating, is clearly marked, and is accessible.

IMPLEMENTATION

To help guide the implementation of the twelve recommendations, a timeline was created identifying short-term, medium-term, and long-term goals. The timeline includes a chart that also identifies responsible stakeholders for each goal.

RÉSUMÉ

INTRODUCTION

Le rapport intitulé Les nouveaux outils pour le contrôle de la vue dans la capitale du Canada HVW O·DERXWLVVHPHQW GX SURMHW G·XQ JURXSH GH RQ]H pWXGLDQWV GH deuxième année de l'École de planification urbaine et régionale de l'Université 4XHHQ V &H UDSSRUW D pWp SURGXLW DX FRXUV G·XQH SpULRGH GH TXDWUH PRLV VRLW GH

x 1HZ &RQWUROV IRU 9LHZ &RQWURO LQ &DQDGD·V &DSLWDO SURWHFWLRQ GHV YXHV GDQV OD UpJLRQ GH OD FD

Photo from viewpoint 11 in front of the Museum of History.

Boulevard de la Conf Œ Ÿ } v

SWOC Analysis

ANALYSE DES P

LES MEILLURES PRACTIQUES

(Q RFWREUH DSUqV SOXV G·XQ PRLV GH WUDYDLO VXU OH SURMHW XQ DWHOLHU D HX OLHX j Kingston, Ontario. Divers membres de la CCN ont participé, en plus des urbanistes de la Ville de Kingston, des étudiants et d'autres professionnels. À la fin de l'atelier, six villes ont été identifiées comme proposant les meilleures pratiques. Ces six villes furent ensuite étudiées en profondeur. À partir de cette recherche, les meilleures pratiques identifiées comprenaient la sensibilisation du public, la consultation publique, la gestion de la végétation, la présentation de points de vue, la collaboration et les partenariats ainsi que l'utilisation d'une nouvelle méthodologie.

PRINCIPES DIRECTEURS

3ULPDXWp V\PEROLTXH 6·DVVXUHU TXH OH

Ces principes directeurs ont servi à créer une série de douze recommandations (sans ordre d'importance):

1. Mise à jour et maintien de la politique de la protection des vues

dans la capitale du Canada (publiée en2007)

2. Développer la définition des symboles nationaux

0 H W W U H H Q ± X Y U H X Q H S R O L W L T X H G H O R F D W L R Q I p G p U D O H

0 H W W U H H Q ± X Y U H X Q H S R O L W L T X-H G · p F K D Q J H G X U D S S R U W S O D Q F K H U
terrain (RPT) autorisé

0 H W W U H H Q ± X Y U H X Q H p W X G H V X U O D ™cPX Q H p W0y& ` € €F K O D ™cPX Q H p W0y& ` € €F K O D ™cPX Q H p W0y& ` € €F

MISE EN ŒUVRE

3RXU DLGHU j RULHQWHU OD PLVH HQ ±XYUH GH FHV GRX]H UHFRPPDQGDWLRQV U OHV REM
long terme ont été identifiés dans un calendrier créé à cette fin. Le calendrier comprend un tableau qui identifie également les intervenants responsables pour chaque objectif.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

7 K H S U R M H F W W H D P V W R O L N H W R W K D Q N R I ' U W K E Y L F K R S O R I 8 U E D Q D Q G L H U L R Q D O 3 O ' U * R U G R Q · V H G H Q W E X [S H U W L V H D O D E O H F R P S D Q L R Q \ W K H O S P D N H F R P S D Q L R Q V success. The project team would also like to recognize his unwavering support for the team.

We would also like to thank Madeline Demers, OAQ, OUQ, and Sophie Acheson, MCIP, RPP from the National Capital Commission. Both Madeline and Sophie provided the project team with a clear sense of direction as well as valuable guidance.

We also acknowledge the countless number of professionals and stakeholders who provided valuable information, feedback, and ideas throughout our communications and interviews, specifically those from: City of Ottawa, Halifax Regional Municipality, University of British Columbia, City of Vancouver, Private Consulting Firm in Portland, City of Portland, and the City of Guelph.

Special thanks are extended to John Abel FRAIC, and Professor John Danahy, as well as Professor Robert Allsopp for the depth of their knowledge and willingness to share it with our team.

Angela Balesdent, Kathy Hoover, and Jo-Anne Tinlin for their administrative and logistical support.

We would also like to thank our workshop participants, for their support, expertise, feedback and enthusiasm: Robert Allsopp, 7 $ + , Greg Newman MCIP, RPP, and Chris Wicke MCIP, RPP (City of Kingston), Patrick Bunting (National Capital Commission) a Anthony Clements-Haines, Emily Goldney, Kevin Keresztes, Sarah Liberia, Ellie Meiklejohn, Malcom Norwood, Megan L G R X [D 7 \ O H U 9 H Q D E O H 4 X H H Q · V 8 Q L Y H U V L W \ 6 W X G H Q W V

Thank you,

Rabiya Adhia, Paul Bell, Jonathan Byrd, Caitlin Carmichael, Emilie Coyle, Sarah Cranston,
Olivia Fortenbacher, Henna Hovi, Aidan J. Kennedy, Lesley Mey shet, and Natalie Pulcine

RECONNAISSANCES