












**WHAT DO WE ALREADY KNOW ABOUT
THE APPROPRIATE DESIGN FOR A**

practice of equalization as applied to the
Canadian federation.


Paul A.R. Hobson, *What Do We Already Know About the Appropriate Design for a Fiscal Equalization Program in Canada and How Well are we Doing?*







Paul A.R. Hobson, *What Do We Already Know About the Appropriate Design for a Fiscal Equalization Program in Canada and How Well are we Doing?*



Paul A.R. Hobson, *What Do We Already Know About the Appropriate Design for a Fiscal Equalization Program in Canada and How Well are we Doing?*

Hence, the issue must be more than this. Does potential revenue generating capacity represent the "right" aggregate measure? Is this superior to provincial personal income or provincial gross domestic product? Probably not on grounds of simplicity of measurement. Rather, it is an issue of content. Changes in bases such as resource revenues can create major changes in entitlements due to significant

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]