# A Re-appraisal of the Prosperity Gospel in African NeePentecostalism: 7 K H 3 R W H Q F \ R I 3 0 X O W L S O H. 0 R G H U Q L W L H V

By: James KwatengYeboah (1021096\$

Supervisor: Dr. Pamela Holmes

ODVWHU¶V (VVD\ 6XEPLWWHG LQ 3DUWLDC

The Degree Master of Arts in the School of Religion at

4XHHQ¶V 8QLYHUVLW\

August, 207

# **ABSTRACT**

# **ACKNOWLED EGMENT**

But for the grace of Christ, this work would thou ave been materialised. To Him be Glory!

My heart

# **Table of Contents**

ABSTRACT	2
ACKNOWLEDEGMENT	3
Chapter 1	6
Introduction	6
Research Background	7.
Research Questions	1.0
Theoretical Tools & Approach	11
Chapter 2	13
The Prosperity Gospel: Content, History and Contexts	13
Introduction	13
What is the Prosperity Gospel?	13
What are the Historical Origin(s) of the Prosperity Gospel?	1.5
The American History	15
African Trajectories	17
Principles of the Prosperity Gospel	19
Africa and America: Continui ties & Discontinuities of the Prosperity Gospel	20
African Cultural Concepts: A Differentiated Path to Prosperity	20
Conclusion	23
Chapter 3	24
The Prosperity Gospel versus the Protestant Ethic	24
Introduction	

Chapter 438	
3 U R V S H U L W \ * R V S H O ) U R P 3 0 R G H U Q L.W.\W.R3.0.X.O.W.L.S.Q3+8	0 R G H U Q
Introduction	
Classical Sociological Aalyses of Modernity	
Concept of Religion in Africa: A Differentiated Pathway of Modernity43	
Multiple Modernities45	
Prosperity Gospel: The Potency of Multiple Modernities47	
Conclusion48	
References:	

into two: Classical Pentecostal ChurchendNeo-Pentecosta(or Charismatic) Churches Even though differences between the FDQQRWEHQHDWO\GLYLGHG-DQH6RR

Whereas Pentecostalism in its classical form was characterized by a retreat from the world or an anti P D W H U L D O R U ³ K R O L Q H Q W H V V VQ D D &WKDHU L> V PIRW L F @ « mosW G H I L Q L W H O \ R I ³ W K L V Z R U O G ´ D Q G H [S U H V V I U H T X H Q W I success of adherents in this life time (Soothill 2007: 37).

ZHD

Thus, the recurrent emphases among-Neontecostable dherents DUH RQ 3 VXFFHVV VWDWX VI 2004L44) RINUthis study, I use the term\$ IULNFeD Rentecostable of the various new Charismatic churches Snub-Saharan Africawhich do not fall under the description of Classical Pentecostal Churches by virtual strong emphasis on dividual material progress in life, here and now

In recent decades het global explosion of (Neo) Pentecostal religiosity has caught scholarly attention, especially its relation to development (Berger 2008; Ter Haar 2009), modernity (Martin 2002; Gifford 2016) and globalization (Wilkinson 2006) avid Martin Pentecostalism: The World Their Paris (2001:1) posits that one in eight Christian are

Much earlier David Maxwell (1998: 350) hatound the growing influence of Pentecostalism and its prosperity gospel on capitalist attitudes and political activism in Zimbabwahelorase of Zimbabwe, Maxwell (1998: 351) argues that WKHSURVSHULW\JRVSHO 3SURYLG WRWHUPWoderbit/Westplorminant values and institutions

But other scholars ave been rery sceptical of this positive affinity between Pentecostalism and the social dominant values of modernit Chief amongsthemis Paul Gifford. In \* K D Q D ¶ V New Christianity: Pentecostalism in a Globalising African Economit or argues, the claim that Charismatiq or Neo-Pentecostal Churches of themselves or as such must be fostering-socio political reform has not been proved teast nontemporary Accreditor 2004: 197). Using : HEHUP Net stant ethic as a Q D Q D O \ W L F D O I U D P H Z R U N \* LIIR U G D U J S overemphasis on the miraculous and low valuation of work counternothern workethic needed for Ghana o join the modern world economic Gifford 2004:197). A major exception however presented in \* LIIR U in Colling the V R F L D O O \ D Q G S R O L W L F D O O \ F R Q V F L W K H R D Means of Otabi (Gifford 2004:113). Accordingly, Otabil presents case or education, responsible leadership, productivent repreneurship, and cultural transformation in Neo-Pentecostalism to the social productive transformation in Neo-Pentecostal productive transformation in Neo-Pentecostal productive transformation tran

development of modern capitalist systems, free market economy, science and technology functional differentiation and the primacy of reason (rationalization) African Neo Pentecostalism quite the reverse challenge these dominant paradigms of modernity (Swidler 2013: 680). When discussing African realities is apparent that he role of religion with respect to modernity is particularly compleand multifaceted (Swidler 2013: 681) Harvey Cox (2006: 21) affirms that, Pentecosts assert a remarkable interface between portern spiritual beliefs and practices like exorcism with spirits of folk region); while embracing features of modernity through heir mass capitalist culture hich motivates members towards upward sociability.

How then, does the practice of the prosperity gospel in Africa diverge from or converge with the dominant approaches of modernatisy inherited from classical sociological analyses:

HEHU¶V3URWHCMNINDSOCIAN im(pA/cKdf Theprosperity gospelarticulate strong anti modern or antiwestern themes and yet remain distinctively modern Schmuel Eisensta, df« the best way to understand the contemporary worldindeed to explain the istory of modernity± is to see it as a story of notional constitution and reconstitution of a multiplicity of cultural programs' \$4 enstadt 2000: 2). Herein is lies the finition of multiple modernities.

To that end, this essay aimsulforderstand better, how religious movemental modernity are invariably shaped by their historical, social and cultural contexts within which they emerge The overarching goal is to demonstrate that frican contexts modernity is part of rather than antithetical to religion.

#### Research Questions

Three main questions at the driving focus of this essay:

x What are the content(s), histories, and origin(s) of the perity gospel?

- x What are the key traits of the Protestant Ethic as discussed by Weber?
- x How does the practice of the prosperity gospel in Africændje from or onverge with dominant approaches of moderniansinherited from classical sociological analyses

## Theoretical Tools & Approach

This essaydraws theoretical insights from the conceptor multiple modernities, first developed by the Israeli sociologist; hour Eisenstad (The essay challenges the assumption behind the centralide a of development in the latter part of the 20th Center former colonized ODQGVZRXOG Talke (The Industrialized in the proses (Rostow 1962) Classical sociological analyses of Marx, Durkheim, Whether, assumed that the cultural program of modernity as developed in Western Europe and the institutional patter (e.g a bureaucratic state, democracy, capitalisma); emerged three would eventually follow in all modernizing and modern societies reality that has emerged after the cabled beginnings of modernity fails to be out these assumptions. As Eisen the call to the concept of modernity fails to be a cout these assumptions.

While a general trend toward structural differentiatideveloped across a wide range of institutions in most of these societies in family life, economic and political structures, urbanization, modern education, mass communication, and individualistic orientations ways in which these arenas were defined organized varied greatly, in different periods of their development, giving rise to multiple institutional and ideological patterns. Significantly, these patterns did not constitute simple continuations in the modern era of the traditions of their resective societies. Such patterns were distinctively modern, though greatly influenced by specific cultural premises, traditions, and historical experiences (Eisenstadt 2000: 1

disenchantment or denagicking of the world. The study interrogates analyses by scholars like Paul Gifford

equalsfortune message Proponents, of the other hand, prefer the term clistic Gospel Faith Gospel or Health and Wealth Gospe (Asamoah Gyadu 2005: 203). This essay uses the

Thought, the prRVSHULW\JRVSHO HPHUJHG LQ FRQYHUVDWLRQ ZL \$PHULFDQ JRVSHO RI SUDJPDWLVP LQ@BbwMetr@20X11B:O1L)\/P DQC (VVHQWLDO WR %RZOHU¶V QDUUDWLYH LV WKH ILListXUH RI ( ZKR UHMHFWHG 1HZ 7KRXJKW \HW DSSURSULDWHG LWV 3IRF that Christians could look to the cross not as a promise of things to come, but as a guarantee of benefitsalready J U D Q W H G ' % R Z O H U Q ¶ V W K(H:R O HReOlder in Sonapont JDF \ the prosperity gospel in 20th century America (Anderson 2014: 65). Other figures including Oral Roberts, Kenneth Hagin, Gordon Lindsay, and A. A. Allen advanced the concept of a materially efficacious faith as partif the prosperity gospel. Kenneth Hagin (1920703), for example, was said to have received a revelation in 1934 on the biblical text Mark 11: 22ff, and emphasized that 3 SUD\HU IRU KHDOWK DQG ZHDOWK LV LQIDOO124E,HO2a\ginDQVZHU established the Rhema Bible College and mentored other prosperity preachers including Kenneth Copeland, Benny Hinn, and Joel Osteen

The American type prosperity gospel emerged in a period described by the New Testament Scholar, Dan Lioy (2007: DV ³WKH GD\V ZKHQ OLYLQJ VWDQGDUGV VXFFHVV WKURXJK D SRVLWLYH PHQWDO DWWLWXGH ZD Superabundance where the craving for material rewards became a fatal addiction for which there was no cXUH WRQN For Brouwer et al (1996: 2) the popularization of the prosperity gospel in America was intertwined with the homogenizing influences of consumerism, mass communication, and production was a period when GHVLUH REWDLeQaHdG D OH FRQVWUXFWLYH IRUFH WKDW VKRXOG > DOZD\V@ILQGIXOI

203). Over time, the doctrine turned an axial moment with the globalization of Pentecostal Christianity (Coleman 2000: 49). Inspirational books, pantphæudio tapes, and televangelism of American prosperity preachers weaecessed African NeoPentecostal preachers, particularly in Nigeria and Ghana.

But in Africa, the prosperity gospel emerged in situation spoverty (Togarasei 2011), among strugligng economies (Gifford 2004), and argue, in conversation with the indigenous socio-cultural milieu.

# African Trajectories

One of the earliest promoters of the prosperity gospel in Africa was the late Nigerian Archbishop, Benson Idahosanderson 204: 66

can be attributed to(1) <sup>3</sup> WKH EDVLF IHDU RIPDOHYROHQW IRUFHV > address them](2) D GHSHQGHQFH RODOWRKLHQ WS(30) QRb@ileftellout(16) eVol@essing of God will remain upon adherents, so long as they remain faithful [to God, to the church, and to WKH SURSKHW@´/Dnobahaly betoll herents of this Pherostal stream, the prosperity gospel is enact@WKURXJK WKH SULQFLS(Astam®dhG) (4) enact@WKURXJK WKH Enact@WKH Enac

Principles of the Prosperity Gospel

In keeping with prosperityAfrican Neo-Pentecostals assert three main principhastd work, prayerandgiving (AsamoahGyadu 2013: 79104; Togaasei 2011: 340) In Zimbabwe and Botswana, Lovemore Tgarasei (2011: 340) found that NPdH Q W H F R V W D O V D U H <sup>3</sup> W D X S K D Q G V I R U Hvérix, Ladhervériths Caré encourage dirtigage in profitable venture or activities in the midst of the choicesor resources available them David Maxwell also observed that adherents of Zimbabwe Assemblies of God, Africa (ZAOGAN) gagein petty trading or small scale enterprises, which blescribes aV <sup>3</sup> S H Q Q \ F Dh S Leinvilling Col Loth Pap 1006 stuffs and clothesinitially within the religious community but later outside it, to finathone expansion of that community 0 D [ZHOO FLWHG.LQ 0 D U W L Q

But hard workalone does not yield prosperity he practical outworking of the prosperity gospelincludes various forms of giving: offering, tithes, and gifts to men and women of God termedsowing and reaping Asamoah Gyadu 2013: 8). Sowing and reaping omprises the giving RIPRQH\ODQGFDUVWLPHDELOLWLL(HeVang Distancy miles to the file of the same of God by the property of the property of

Neo-Pentecostals alsongage in payer

since they command a ri <b>ch</b> lture anchistory anchave exerted influence in	n Africareligions and
African philosophicalwritings (	

Overall, the searchrosperity among African Neßentecostalbegins in the repertory of the African sociocultural concepts, where inveal and effectives piritual power is translated into the material or physical worl (Marshall 2009: 17). It is within these Africancultural concepts of well-being (yiedie), dualistic view of life (nkwa), and

## Chapter 3

# The Prosperity Gospel versus the Protestant Ethic

Introduction

BY HU % HUJHU LGHQWLILHV IRXU DSSTNRDFKHV Protestant Ethic and The Spirit of CapitalisiThe first approach, explored by scholars like R.H.

7DZQH\ LV WR H[DPLQH WKH YDOLGLW\ RI : HEHU¶V KLVWRU works of Antonio Gramsci, is to place the essay in a largeexcoof a debate with Karl Marx on the role of ideas in the processes of social change. The third approach is Toher Parotestant Ethic as a sociologically inspired philosophy of history, in terms of unintended consequences of action. The fourth, Bergen V FKRLFH LV D PRUH SUDFWLFDO DQG HPSLU notion of Protestant Ethic inspires understanding of new developments in the world today (Berger 2010: 3).

This chapter follows the latter line of inquiry by comparing the Prosperitspel in African contexts Z L W K : H E H U ¶ V 3 UnRTMeHPkotestarQ Extrigored a role in creating the religious ideas of f<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> Century Protestants parts of Europeplayed a role in creating the modern capitalistic spirit: one that saw ptroffind material success as an end in itself (Weber 1930: xi). Peter Berger (2010) David Maxwell (1998), and David Martin (2002) argue that 3 H Q W H F R V W D O L V P Z L W K L W V S U R V S H U L W \ J R V S H O U H S O L Pentecostalism fosts a modernising work ethic by encouraging capitalist entrepreneurship, upward social mobility and economic development (Berger 2008/569Martin 2002: 152). Critics view prosperity gospel as an impetus for delusion (Dada 2004/995 Gifford 2016). Their main objection is that the prosperity gosprelAfrica, places emphasis on spiritual forces

IRVWHUV DQ 3HQFKDQWHG UHOLJLRXV LPDJLQDWLR(

underpinning modernity (Gifford 2016: 55).

The aim of this chapter isotcritically compare the keyW UDLW VRI: HEHU¶V 3URV with the practice of the prosperity gospel as discussed in the earlier clitaxwille be argued that WKH SURVSHULW\JRVSHOLQ \$IULFD RQ RQH kitsDw@a@th GLYHU accumulation, conspicuous consutinop, and enchanted imagination. On the other hand, the prosperity gospelso FRQYHUJHV ZLWK telefine of the fire problem in the property gospelso FRQYHUJHV ZLWK telefine of the fire problem in the problem is the fire of the fire problem in the constitution of the other hand, the prosperity gospelso FRQYHUJHV ZLWK telefine of the fire problem is the fire of the fire problem in the constitution of the c

#### The Weberian Thesis

Max Weber used the expression Protestant Ethioto advance his argument that Protestants, in contrast to Catholics, were keener to emphasize hard work, thrift, the value of time, and a disciplined lifestyle. Using figures gathered from his contemporaries like W. Sombart (1913), Lujo Bretano (1916), and Matin Offbacher (1901), Weber observed

A glance at the occupational statistics of any country of mixed religious composition brings WR OLJKW ZLWK UHPDUNDEOH IUHTXHQF\«WKH IDFW WKD capital as well as the higher grades of skilled labour, and even more the higher technically and commercially trained personnel of modern enterprises, are overwhelmingly ProtestVDQW« %XW DPRQJ WKH &DWKROLF JUDGXDW percentage of those graduating from the institutions preparing, in particular, for technical VWXGLHV DQG LQGXVWULDO DQG FRPPHUFLDO RFFXSDWLRQV farther behind the procentage of 4500(g)11(e -04C>-49(i)-01.04C00580056>9<-04C4057>-456004.54 v9

as he is ac

The English Puritan, Matthew Perkins, simila

Protestants to embrace what Webahls <sup>3</sup> W-KZLRVU O G O \ D V F H W L F L V P ´ L E L G to demonstrate their conviction of being part of the elect by living a life of systematiconate of losing sight of the inexorable alternative cosenor damned <sup>3</sup>, Q L W V H [W U H P H L Q K Weberwrites <sup>3</sup> W K L V G R F W U L Q H « K D G R Q H F R Q V H T X H Q F H I R U W K W R L W V P D J Q L I L F H Q W F R Q V L V W H Q F \ « D I H H O L Q J R I X Q S U H

Two developments occurred with Calvinism. First, it became obligatoregard oneself DV 3FKRVHQ VLQFH ODFN RIFHUWDLQW EHFDPH LQGLFDWL of good works in worldly activities like business entrepreneurship became accepted as the medium whereby such surety could be demonsted (Weber 1930: 104)

Luther & Calvin: The Spirit of Modern Capitalism

From the theological ideas of Luther and Calvin, the spirit of Western Capitalism emerged.

\$V \$QWKRQ\ \*LGGHQV ZULWHV ³WKH GHVLUH IRU ZrHDOWK FWKH: FWAS Capitalistic activity DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK UDWLRQDO RUJDQLV (cited in Weber 1930: xi). Thus the Protestant Ethic discussed by Weber came associated with Western historicity along with its capitalistic activiti HV \*LGGHQV H[SODLQV IX accumulation of wealth was morally justified in so far as it was combined with sober, industrious FDUHHU': HEHU [LLL:HDOWK ZDV FRQGHPQHG RQO\ self-indulgence (ibid).

In t

varying degrees. But it was the alvinists, Weber (1930: 179) arguets, at broke down the traditional economic system paving the way for modern diaprita

Peter Berger aptly summarises the four key behavioural traits of Protestants of almel 17 18th Century that inspired modernity and deverteent:

- x a disciplined attitude to work (not just hard work, which one finds in many ve**P**rotestant places, but what Weber understood as the rationalization of work)
- x an equally disciplined attitude to other spheres of social life, notably the famil (★ ( M ♥ ¶ V Q R W L R Q of life-discipline)
- x a deferral of instant consumption, resulting in saving and, eventually, capital accumulation and VRFLDO PRELOLW\ ZKDW SV\FKRORJLVWV FDOO 3GHOD\HG JU
- x D ZRUOGYLHZ UHODWLYHO\ IUHMIPRHIQRWD RLLFW K.HH EZHRUL¶ OF GGLVHQ F (cited in Berger 2010: 4)

### :HEHU¶V ORGHUQLW\

Much earlier, Weber famously coined the tendineEntzauberungwhich translates

3 GLVHQFKDQWPHQW'LQDOHFWXUHHQWLWOHOS91376IFLHQFH
this lecture, Weber described the disenchantment or more literally-time.gdieking of the world

(dieEntzauberunglerWelt \$FFRUGLQJWR:HEHULQWKHPRGHUQZRU
recourse to magical means in order to master or inequine spirits, as did the savage, for whom

VXFKP\VWHULRXVSRZHUVH[LVWHG7HFKQLFDOPHDQVDQ

WKHZRUOGLV3GLVHQFKDQWHG':HEHULELGD

modern processes of mantialization (in which spontaneous human action is replaced by instrumental rationality and bureaucratic structures) and intellectualization (which involves the prioritization of technical modes of thinking).

7 KH <sup>3</sup> GLVHQFKDQWPHQW′R, lis Wankin belvit Zib Re La Cocompanish bef thoe UJXHV rise of modern states and modern capitalist economies. Although he posited a positive role for

Protestant Christianity in the development of Western Capitalism, Weber also suggested that other religions such as Hindson, hindered economic and technological revolution, not because their beliefs and practices posed insuperable obstacles, but because they infused the whole society with D 3 V S L U L W ′ W K D W Z D V Q R W F R Q G X F L Y H W R H F R QHREPHLUF¶ W U D thesis was controversial, yet many development the arrisds on temporary sociologists accept

and HFRQRPLF V\VWHP 3WKH JUHDWHVW QHHG LV WKH GHY VWUXFWXUHV V\VWHPV SURFHGXUHV DQG LQVWLWXWLRQV

\*LIIRUG¶V VWXGLHV UHMHFW-VoultWurtak WellenbeQutseath WiscomessedRI \$IULF to the modern discourse As stated earlier, the African type perosperity gospel exhibits a discontinuity with the American version DQG ZLWK: HEHU¶ Vin Schlat Rit Wischel WyDQW (Wanchored in the African primal world view; thus, in the Akancultural concepts of wellbeing (yiedie).

KHDOHG FRWPoSe CoHMe/pholiont), some Pentecostal groutipe the Intercessors for Africa, perceive the activities of territorial spirits DV KDPSHULQJ to the Intercessors for Africa,

In spite of the emphsis on spiritual forces, Togarasei (20340) found that Pentecostals encourage hard work on theart of believersif they are toescape poverty. Unlike Gifford Togarasei (2011) found that: (1) teachings on entrepreneurship by prosperity preachers motivate Neo-Pentecostals to start their own businesses like poultry farming and candle making in Botswana; (2) the prosperity gospel creates employment opportunities as churches recruit their members for their building projects (e.g. church auditoriums, administrative works, and media broadcasting crews); (3) the element of giving in the prosperity ed enables Neelentecostals to respond to the needs of the less privileged in society; (4) prosperity gospel contributes to a positive mindset that addresses structures of mediocrity; and mievement and backwardness in Africa (Togarasei 2011: 344).

DaylG 0D[ZHOO LQ Killedy from Utthe ISBicot Hef Polyethe Polyethe Costalism, 3URVSHULW\DQG 0RGHUQLW\LQ = LPEDEZH´ DOVR IRFXVH gospel propounded by the Zimbabwe Assemblies of God, Afrom OGA). According to Maxwell (1998: 351) ZKLOH = 2\$\*\$¶V OHDGHUVKLS GR GUDZ XSRQ YD SURVSHULW\ JRVSHO WR OHJLWLPL][MACONG KM MUCON Hebit Findent VLYH D prosperity teachings have arisen from predominantly southern Afromances and are shaped by = LPEDEZHDQ FRQFHUQV´ %DVHG RQ RYHU D \HDU¶V HWKC IRXQG WKDW WKH SURVSHULW\ JRVSHO SURYLGHV D PHDQ\ rapid social change, to engender social minor provide a code of conduct which guards them (Pentecostals) from falling into poverty and destitution, to come to terms with, and benefitting IURP PRGHUQLWLHV¶ GRPLQDQW YDOXHV DQG LQVWLWXW

## Chapter 4

 $3\,U\,R\,V\,S\,H\,U\,L\,W\,\backslash\,\,\,^*\,R\,V\,S\,H\,O\,\,\,\,)\,U\,R\,P\,\,^3\,0\,R\,G\,H\,U\,Q\,L\,W\,\backslash\,\,\,^\prime\,W\,R\,\,^3\,0\,X\,O\,W\,L$  Introduction

In this chapter, I discuss the concept of modernity as inheriteddiagonicalsociological analysesMy concern is not to give a comprehensive account offetive lopment of theerm, but rather, to focus on: HEHU¶V XQGHUO\LQJ WKThhePPIrbtest&nt BPhR-VGebbetQLW\Le predicted that the development of western capitalism as a historical process testibiticalization, bureaucratization, and intellectualization would lead to the disenchantmen (\*Die Entzauberung) of the modern world (\*Weber 1930: 2-127; Larrain 2000: 13) The question as to what extent 3 HQWHFRVWDOLVP KDD Vedictional is to the belief of: this Edsist (\*I) V

Instead, the chapter will show that domintarconceptualizations of modernitys expressed by : HEH Drottestant Ethic legitimizes worldviews of Western historicity and frameworks, whiles discounting alternative hypotheses of modernity based southeautural frameworks from Westernsocieties

sociologist Gerard Delanty (2007) begins a scholarly account of modernity with an inquiry into the wordmodern Accordingly, modern from the Latinmodus was first used in the fifth century early Christian Church to distinguish the Christian era from the pagar (Deglanty 2007) From this meaning emerged an association of the teordernwith the renunciation of the recent past for a new beginning Modernity, as opposed moderndid not arise untithe nineteenth century with the works of culturally oriented thinkers: Char Beaudelaire, Walter Benjamin, and Georg Simmel (Frisby 1985; Delanty 2007).

Charles Baudelaire (1821/867) the French poet, is said to have coined the french descriptionmodernité(modernity) (Delanty 2007). ,Q KLV HVVD\ 37KH 3DLQWH /LIH MOXGHODLUH IDPRXV (Delanty 2007). ,Q KLV HVVD\ 37KH 3DLQWH QW (Baudelaire 1964: 13 FLWHG LQ 'HODQW\ %DXCentrec (Baudelaire 1964: 13 FLWHG LQ 'HODQW\ &DXCentrec (Baudelaire 1964: 13 FLWHG LQ 'HODQW\ &DXCentrec (Baudelaire 1964: 13 FLWHG LQ WK DALLE) (Baude

Georg Simmel is generally credited as the first sociologist of modernity who gave a more rigorous sociological interpretation of the term, with an antofusocial life in Berlin City (Frisby 1985: 1; Delanty 2007).6 L P P H O ¶ V L Q W H O O H F W X D O I U D P H Z R U N R Q conditioned by the socioultural and political milieu in Berlin in the early 1900s (Scaff 2003). Having been close observethe dynamic social life inhis city, he commented in one of his

In The Philosophy of MoneySimmel (1978 [1900]) DUJXHV WKDW WKH 3QHZ′ society is experienced in two modeshe metropolis′ and ³money′ (Frisby 1985: 1)He sought

WKH PHDQLQJitsRekcharn Beoustel Váluesand effects 3 XSRQ WKH L±OpOnHhldel ZRUOG vitality of individuals, [and]upon cuOWXUHLQJHQHLGD). (Frisby 1986: 1)He sought

www.hamaginary was the replacement of the barter system with money as the principal medium of economic exchae (1990: 60ff). Money, Simmel argues, ushered into the metropolis, instrumentality and a calculative view of the wo() Holisby 1985: 448). FFRUGLQJ Who 6LPPHO preconditions for the modern economy are found in the depersonalizing norms of calculation efficiency that stimulates aview of the world 3 VLPSO\and hhmedocal problem having definite solutions′ cited in Scaff 2003)., Q 3 7 KH 0 HWURSROLV DQ() (1990: H2) hint having definite elucidates certain socies sychological features of meth cities: individuals are removed from the HPRWLRQDO WLHV DQG VRFLDO ERQGV DQG WKH LQGLYLGX rational and calculative.

and the labor time of the workers '(cited in Ritzer 2011: 56)Capitalism,for Marx, instigates alienation, exploitation and oppression bath economic and noneconomic phenomena (e.g. religion) are commoditized (Giddens 1971: 1-113). This commoditization in modern societies promotes rationalization of social relationships and individualism (Kellner 2003).

Emile Durkheim, in his famous workThe Division of Labou (1893), also argued that modern socities are generally held together what he calls organic solidarity? DIRUPRIVRFLE FRKHVLRQ EDVHG RQ WKH GLIIHUHQFHV DPRQJ WKH PHPEHU in Robertson 1989: 319 For Durkheim,

that rendes human understanding of the natural world a calculable phenomenon (Scaff 2014: 142).

To sum up, the concept of modernitess explored above, arguably reflects an intellectual framework that has been shaped primarily by the historical and-subtional conditions of the West,

hence it makes more sense to speak of modernity in

Consequently, the search for a power to count to the spiritual world which impede the full enjoyment of human life, is apparently threviolog principle behind religiosity in Africa.

0 D [ \$ V V L P H Q J DILID UWPKVH \$VXSQKWH U H R I W K H \$ I U L F D Q ¶ V F R Q F H S reckoned with, one cannot claim that one is studying the religious consciousness of the traditional S H R S O H V R I : H V W \$ I U L F D ′ \$ V V L P H Q J

With the influence of European Colonialca6hristian mission, some scholars of religion dismiss these elements of a spiritual world in African indigenous contexts and use prejudicial terms lik H <sup>3</sup> V X S H U V W L W L TOOD L P 10 NO PACO ENW bank timer (Ter Haar 2009: 1). Such categorisations by casolars occur because of their continuous reliance on a Western Christocentric I U D P H Z R U N Z K L F K R I W H Q H T X D W H V U H O L J L R Q Z L W K L W V <sup>3</sup> F reason, intellectual antecedents of modernity secularization often measure ligion in terms of church attendance and dominance of belief, while indicating the separation of church and state, a secular selfidentification, and low rates of church attendance as key features of secularization (Wallace 1966; Wilson 1966). Religionas constituting a continuous relationship between an invisible world of spirits and the material world of human existeins deardly identified in such analytics of modernity and secularization this regardif analytics of religion and modernity in non-Western contexts are modelled only after Western Christocentric frameworks and histories.

The African religious imagination explained above is nevertheless exclusive to Africa.

7HU + DDU QRWHV RID VLPLODU IHDWXUH ZLWK & LFH
FRQVWLWXWHG 3FRQWLQXRXV UHYHODWRU\ PHVVDJHV VHQ
Robert Bellah (2006: 110) elucidates aisampoint when he writes that in ancient Greece, religion

2002, Bjorn Wittrock 2005) with a variety of interpretations from societies wherein modernity is VDLG WR GLYHUJH IUR PASVexplatined labbore. Labs it all sociological and allyses assumed that structural differentiation and the growthcap italism, bureaucracy and liberal democracy will naturally be accompanied by individualism, rationalization and a secular worldview (Fourie 2012: 54).

Multiple modernity theorists, despite their differences, take particular exception. They

analyses of the prosperity gospel and dernity brings to the fore a-scalled enchanted religious imagination combined with unctional rationality in the name of multiple modernities. As Massimo Rosati & Kristina Stoeckl (2012: 2) affirm:

ZKHUHDV WKH ZHVWHUQ SURJUDP RI PRGHUQLW\±with FOXGHV its functional differentiation, privatization of religion, and decline of religious beliefs (Casanova 2011) ±modernization in nonWestern settings often incorporates or even thrives on religion rather than excluding or diminishing it (Rosati & Stoeckl 2012: 2).

Rather than secularisingr westernizing the modernization process, merging and evolving connection of cultural elements and odernity can provide a common ground for an adequate

complement them in ways that can help individuals to not only understand and describe their lifeworlds, but improve their welbeing.

## References

Afri. eDukfa RDK ( ³\$IULFDQ 7UDG**C**dWdHRSQVD BII 53HROYLHJUL(**B**dQ), DLQC3 DWUKLH Religion and Poverty: PaAfri-129cean Plividuaf \$PRDK

/DUWH\ ( < 32I )RUPXODH )HDU DQG )DLWK &X &DUH LQ \$IULFD′ 7ULQLW\ -RXUQDO RI &KXUFK DQG 7 15.

/HH 5 / 3:HHEQHFUK DENWPHQW DQG 6RFLDO )XWXUHV′